

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/723,128	11/25/2003	Wolfgang John	60148.0006US01	9441
7590 07/13/2005			EXAMINER	
Roger T. Frost, Esq.			HARRIS, ANTON B	
Merchant & Gould P.C.				
P.O. Box 2903			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Minneapolis, MN 55402-0903			2831	

DATE MAILED: 07/13/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		X				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/723,128	JOHN, WOLFGANG				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Anton B. Harris	2831				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed /s will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 A	pril 2005.					
	action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 and 13 is/are pending in the appli 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 and 13 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	ır.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		•				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat fity documents have been receive I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No. <u>10/723,128</u> . ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/723,128 Page 2

Art Unit: 2831

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's arguments, see pages 5-8, filed 21 April 2005, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-6 under 35 U.S.C. 102 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Ueno et al. (6,392,148).

Examiner agrees with Applicant's argument that Yang does not explicitly teach an electronic component arranged on the circuit layout.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-6, and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ueno et al.

Regarding claim 1, Ueno et al. (col. 5, lines 1-67) discloses a flat flex cable, or FFC, wherein said cable (figure 7) contains at least two electric conductors 12 that are embedded in at least one insulating layer 16 of a plastic material, characterized by the fact that a circuit layout 10 is applied on the surface of the flat flex cable (figure 7) and connected to at least one conductor 12 of the cable (figure 7), and by the fact that at least one electric and/or electronic component 103 is arranged on the circuit layout 10.

Application/Control Number: 10/723,128 Page 3

Art Unit: 2831

Regarding claim 2, Ueno et al. (col. 5, lines 1-67) discloses that the circuit layout 10 consists of a copper foil (col. 2, lines 5-10) with low transverse strength (col. 2, lines 20-25).

Regarding claim 3, Ueno et al. (col. 5, lines 1-67) discloses that the electronic components 103 are connected to the circuit layout 10 by means of conductive bonding (col. 6, lines 40-43).

Regarding claim 4, Ueno et al. (col. 5, lines 1-67) discloses that the electronic components 103 are covered with housing shells or by means of selective casting or a protective lacquer 20.

Regarding claim 5, Ueno et al. (col. 5, lines 1-67) discloses that the copper foil (col. 2, lines 5-10) is provided with a black oxide coating on the side that faces the flat flex cable 10.

Regarding claim 6, Ueno et al. (col. 5, lines 1-67) discloses that the electrically conductive connection between the circuit layout 10 and the at least one strip conductor 12 of the flat conductor (figure 7) is produced by means of resistance welding (col. 6, lines 40-43).

Regarding claim 13, Ueno et al. (col. 5, lines 1-67) discloses that the protective lacquer 20 comprises a hardenable polymer system.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-6 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 10/723,128

Art Unit: 2831

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anton B Harris whose telephone number is (571) 272-1976. The examiner can normally be reached on weekdays from 8:30am to 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Dean Reichard, can be reached on (571) 272-2800 ext 31. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

abh

7/11/05

DEAN A. REICHAŔD

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Page 4